```
-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-
       1
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
05:12:01
       2
                                  DISTRICT OF NEVADA
       3
       4
          UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        ) Case No. 2:21-mj-109-DJA
       5
                 Plaintiff,
                                           Las Vegas, Nevada
                                            Monday, February 1, 2021
       6
                                            Courtroom 3A
                 vs.
       7
                                            INITIAL APPEARANCE IN RULE
         NATHANIEL J. DEGRAVE,
                                            5(c)(3) PROCEEDINGS VIA
       8
                 Defendant.
                                            VIDEOCONFERENCE
       9
                                            <u>CERTIFIED COPY</u>
      10
      11
      12
                               TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
      13
                       BEFORE THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS,
                           UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
      14
      15
          APPEARANCES:
      16
           For the Plaintiff:
      17
                 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
                 BY: NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON, AUSA
      18
                 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100
                 Las Vegas, NV 89101
      19
                 (702) 388-6336
      20
           (Appearances continued on Page 2)
      2.1
           DIGITALLY RECORDED:
                                          Liberty Court Recorder (LCR)
                                          4:42:50 p.m. - 5:32:20 p.m.
      2.2
                                          J. Ries
          RECORDED BY:
      23
          TRANSCRIBED BY:
                                          Heather K. Newman
      24
                                          (702) 471-0002
      25
           Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
           produced by machine shorthand and computer-aided transcription.
```

```
-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-
 1
    APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
 2
    For the Defendant:
 3
           FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
           BY: CRISTEN THAYER, AFPD
 4
           411 East Bonneville Avenue, Suite 250
           Las Vegas, NV 89101
 5
           (702) 366-6577
 6
    Also present:
 7
           Misty Sanchez
           United States Pretrial Services
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; MONDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2021; 4:42:50 P.M. 2 --000--3 PROCEEDINGS 05:12:02 4 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: United States of America vs. Nathaniel DeGrave, 2:21-mj-109-DJA. This is an initial 05:12:07 5 05:12:19 6 appearance on a Rule 5. 05:12:23 7 Counsel, make your appearance, please. MR. DICKINSON: Nicholas Dickinson for the 05:12:26 8 05:12:28 9 United States. 05:12:28 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Dickinson. 05:12:34 11 MS. THAYER: Good afternoon. Cristen Thayer for 05:12:41 12 Mr. DeGrave, who is present and appearing by video at the Nevada Southern Detention Center. 05:12:46 13 05:12:48 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Thayer. Have you --05:12:51 15 and is it DeGrave or Degrauve (phonetic)? 05:12:59 16 THE DEFENDANT: DeGrave. 05:13:00 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. DeGrave. 05:13:02 18 Ms. Thayer, have you had a chance to talk to Mr. DeGrave about appearing via videoconference as opposed to 05:13:05 19 05:13:10 20 in person given the pandemic? 05:16:19 21 MS. THAYER: Yes, Your Honor, and he has agreed to 05:16:21 22 appear by video to have the appearance today. 05:16:27 23 THE COURT: Mr. DeGrave, is that true, rather than 05:16:35 24 having yourself transported down to Las Vegas for an in-person 05:16:41 25 hearing, you agree to appear via videoconference as a result of

05:16:46 1	the pandemic?
05:16:48 2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
05:16:49 3	THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you, Mr. DeGrave.
05:16:51 4	I appreciate that.
05:16:52 5	Good afternoon. My name is Daniel Albregts. I'm a
05:17:21 6	United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Nevada and
05:17:24 7	you've been brought before the Court on a complaint which was
05:17:26 8	filed on January 28th, 2020, and that complaint originates out
05:17:31 9	of the District of Columbia.
05:17:32 10	Is your true name Nathaniel DeGrave?
05:17:40 11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
05:17:41 12	THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
05:17:43 13	THE DEFENDANT: I am 31.
05:17:45 14	THE COURT: How far have you gone in school?
05:17:48 15	THE DEFENDANT: I have gone to 11th grade.
05:17:50 16	THE COURT: So you read, write, and understand
05:17:52 17	English?
05:17:53 18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
05:17:54 19	THE COURT: Are you currently under the care of a
05:17:56 20	doctor or a physician for any medical condition?
05:18:00 21	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
05:18:01 22	THE COURT: Are you currently being treated for any
05:18:03 23	psychiatric problem or mental health or mental illness?
05:18:10 24	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
05:18:11 25	THE COURT: Have you consumed any alcohol or taken any

prescribed medication, controlled -- or controlled substances 05:18:16 1 in the last 24 hours? 05:18:21 2 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 05:18:23 3 05:18:25 4 THE COURT: Mr. DeGrave, you're informed that you're 05:18:27 5 charged in a three-count compliant as follows: In Count One with Knowingly Entering or Remaining in 05:18:31 6 05:18:37 7 any Restricted Building or Grounds Without Lawful Authority in 05:18:39 8 violation of Title 18 United States Code § 1752(a)(1) and § 2; 05:18:53 9 in Count Two with Violent Entry and Disorderly Conduct on Capital Grounds in violation of Title 40 United States Code 05:19:02 10 5 -- 5104(e)(2)(D), (E), (F), and (G); and in Count Three 05:19:12 11 05:19:25 12 with Obstruction of Law Enforcement During a Civil -- During Civil Disorder in violation of Title 18 United States Code 05:19:28 13 05:19:36 14 § 231(a)(3). 05:19:37 15 Mr. DeGrave, have you received a copy of that 05:19:40 16 complaint? 05:19:42 17 THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor. 05:19:43 18 THE COURT: Have you read it? 05:19:45 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. 05:19:46 20 THE COURT: Mr. DeGrave, you're advised of your rights 05:19:49 21 as follows: 05:19:49 22 You're not required to make any statement about this charge, either here in open court or to any law enforcement 05:19:54 23 05:19:58 24 officer. Anything you do say can be used against you. 05:20:02 25 Because this case was brought from a different

05:20:05 1

05:20:08 2

05:20:11 3

05:20:14 4

05:20:17 5

05:20:24 6

05:20:27 7

05:20:30 8

05:20:33 9

05:20:34 10

05:20:38 11

05:20:42 12

05:20:44 13

05:20:47 14

05:20:52 15

05:20:56 16

05:21:00 17

05:21:02 18

05:21:05 19

05:21:10 20

05:21:12 21

05:21:14 22

05:21:19 23

05:21:23 24

05:21:28 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

district from where you were arrested, in this district, you have the right to an Identity Hearing at which the government will be required to show that you are, in fact, the person named in the complaint. This Identity Hearing is not merely to establish that your name is Nathaniel DeGrave, but rather that you are, in fact, the person charged in the complaint. You may, if you so choose, waive your right to that Identity Hearing in this district and proceed directly to the District of Columbia to contest the charges.

You also have a right to a Preliminary Hearing in this district at which the government will be required to provide evidence that there is probable cause to believe you committed the crime charged in the complaint. At the Preliminary Hearing, you have the right to cross-examine any adverse witnesses against you and to subpoena witnesses to appear on your behalf and to introduce evidence on your behalf at that hearing. You may elect to have the Preliminary Hearing conducted in the District of Columbia where the prosecution is pending. In the event an Indictment is filed against you regarding the charges contained in the complaint, the Preliminary Hearing will be vacated and you will appear before the Court to be arraigned on the Indictment and to enter a plea to any charges contained in the Indictment.

Because you are charged in the District of Columbia and you were arrested in the District of Nevada, you are

further advised that under Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of 05:21:32 1 05:21:36 2 Criminal Procedure a prosecution may be transferred from the district where the complaint has been filed to the district 05:21:38 3 05:21:41 4 where the defendant is arrested if the defendant states in 05:21:43 5 writing he wishes to plead quilty or no contest and to waive a trial in the district where the complaint is pending, and the 05:21:47 6 05:21:50 7 defendant consents in writing in the court in the district of 05:21:55 8 the arrest and the district of the arrest may dispose of the 05:21:59 9 case. Now, if you wish to do that, you need the consent of both the United States Attorney in this district and the 05:22:08 10 District of Columbia. 05:22:12 11 05:22:13 12 counsel at all stages of these proceedings that I have 05:22:15 13 05:22:18 14

Finally, you have the right to the assistance of legal described here today, and if you cannot afford a lawyer, the Court will appoint a lawyer for you at the public's expense.

Mr. DeGrave, do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor.

05:22:21 15

05:22:27 16

05:22:31 17

05:22:40 18

05:22:42 19

05:22:45 20

05:22:46 21

05:22:49 22

05:22:52 23

05:22:55 24

05:22:59 25

THE COURT: And can you afford to hire an attorney at the present time?

THE DEFENDANT: At the moment, no, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I have before me a Financial Affidavit with your name at the top, what appears to be some financial information, and a signature at the bottom. Did you provide financial information to Ms. Thayer so she could complete this Financial Affidavit for my consideration?

05:23:04	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, Your Honor.
05:23:06	THE COURT: And when you did that, did you do that
05:23:08	understanding you were doing it under the penalties of perjury,
05:23:10	meaning all that financial information you provided to her has
05:23:12	to be true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
05:23:16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
05:23:17	THE COURT: And is that information true and correct
05:23:18	to the best of your knowledge?
05:23:21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
05:23:22 1	THE COURT: And did you give Ms. Thayer your
05:23:24 1	permission to her your permission to sign it at the
05:23:29 1	bottom indicating that that financial information is true and
05:23:32 1	3 correct?
05:23:34 1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
05:23:35 1	THE COURT: All right. Based upon it that affidavit,
05:23:37 1	I find that you are financially unable at the present time to
05:23:41 1	retain counsel. I'll therefore appoint the Federal Public
05:23:48 1	Defender's Office to represent you. Ms. Thayer is an attorney
05:23:52 1	in their office and she's here today to represent you.
05:23:54 2	Have you had a chance to speak to her today regarding
05:23:56 2	the allegations contained in the complaint?
05:24:09 2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
05:24:10 2	THE COURT: And do you understand those allegations
05:24:12 2	and the purpose of today's hearing?
05:24:15 2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

05:24:16 1 THE COURT: Ms. Thayer, do you have any reason to question the competency of your client to assist in his 05:24:17 2 defense, to understand the nature of the charge against him, or 05:24:20 3 05:24:22 4 understand the purpose of today's hearing? 05:24:25 5 MS. THAYER: I do not, Your Honor. THE COURT: And do you waive a reading of the 05:24:27 6 complaint? 05:24:29 7 MS. THAYER: Yes, we do. 05:24:32 8 05:24:33 9 THE COURT: Noting the government's obligation, the Court will advise you that under federal law, including 05:24:36 10 05:24:41 11

05:24:46 12

05:24:53 13

05:25:01 14

05:25:04 15

05:25:06 16

05:25:13 17

05:25:25 18

05:25:29 19

05:25:37 20

05:25:46 21

05:25:55 22

05:26:01 23

05:26:03 24

05:26:06 25

Court will advise you that under federal law, including Rule 5(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Supreme Court's decision in Brady vs. Maryland and all the applicable decisions interpreting Brady, the government is ordered to disclose to the defendant in a timely manner all information or evidence known to the government that is either, one, relevant to the defendant's guilt or punishment, or, two, favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt or punishment. Consequences for violating either this order or the government's obligation under Brady include, but are not limited to, the following: Contempt, sanction, referral to a disciplinary authority, adverse jury instruction, exclusion of evidence and/or dismissal of charges. A written order will follow.

The Court will determine whether there is a date necessary for a hearing in D.C. depending on a detention

05:26:21 1	determination.
05:26:21 2	On the matter of pretrial release, what is the
05:26:24 3	government's position?
05:26:36 4	MR. DICKINSON: The government seeks detention,
05:26:39 5	Your Honor.
05:26:39 6	THE COURT: And are you ready to proceed today?
05:26:42 7	MR. DICKINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
05:26:43 8	THE COURT: Ms. Thayer, are you ready to proceed?
05:26:46 9	MS. THAYER: Yes, Your Honor.
05:26:46 10	THE COURT: And have you gone over the
05:26:49 11	Pretrial Services report with your client?
05:26:51 12	MS. THAYER: Yes.
05:26:51 13	THE COURT: And did you have an opportunity to review
05:26:53 14	the government's detention memorandum found at Document 1?
05:26:57 15	MS. THAYER: I did, Your Honor.
05:26:58 16	THE COURT: All right. I will hear and I asked if
05:27:01 17	you're ready to proceed; correct? You're ready to go?
05:27:08 18	MS. THAYER: Yes.
05:27:09 19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
05:27:09 20	Mr. Dickinson.
05:27:11 21	MR. DICKINSON: Yes, Your Honor, same same with
05:27:19 22	our technical difficulties, the government is going to rely
05:27:33 23	strongly on the fact that the Court and Ms. Thayer have read
05:27:37 24	the complaint in this case as well as the government's
05:27:39 25	detention memo. Just wanted to point out a few things.

05:27:43 1

05:27:46 2

05:27:50 3

05:27:58 4

05:28:06 5

05:28:10 6

05:28:16 7

05:28:28 8

05:28:31 9

05:28:42 10

05:28:45 11

05:28:48 12

05:28:55 13

05:29:00 14

05:29:02 15

05:29:05 16

05:29:14 17

05:29:17 18

05:29:28 19

05:29:34 20

05:29:40 21

05:29:51 22

05:29:56 23

05:30:00 24

05:30:04 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

First, obviously, the conduct the defendant engaged in is -- is extremely troubling. He was part of the mob that stormed the United States Capitol on the 6th objecting to the certification of the president, endangering members of Congress, the vice president, the vice president-elect.

The defendant -- this was premeditated. The defendant was in videos right with his -- with a Ronald Sandlin, who's appeared before this Court today. Mr. Sandlin made sort of more aggressive remarks than Mr. DeGrave. Mr. DeGrave was sitting right there. He takes the camera. He says, "We are protecting the country. If the shit goes down, I say, 'bring it.' We are not silent anymore." He's right there when Mr. Sandlin is discussing that they want to take the Capitol later in the day.

One thing is the defendant here was dressed in tactical gear, full body armor, a helmet, and a face mask.

The felony he's charged with relates to his assaulting of an officer/officers in the Capitol. They get in a shoving match. The defendant then, where he's in full tactical gear, including the helmet, raises his hands like a boxer and stands off. That picture is in the complaint. And then after some time -- which I don't believe this is in the complaint -- the defendant pounds his chest and at that point he lifts off his helmet revealing that it is the defendant.

Needless to say, if someone wants to protest in body

05:30:07 1

05:30:13 2

05:30:18 3

05:30:21 4

05:30:26 5

05:30:30 6

05:30:31 7

05:30:33 8

05:30:38 9

05:30:45 10

05:30:50 11

05:30:53 12

05:30:56 13

05:31:00 14

05:31:01 15

05:31:13 16

05:31:16 17

05:31:19 18

05:31:26 19

05:31:29 20

05:31:41 21

05:31:43 22

05:31:50 23

05:31:53 24

05:31:56 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

armor, to each their own. However, when the defendant's dressed like this and storms the Capitol, it clearly sends a message, it's intimidating, and then he assaults an officer.

Both the defendant -- the defendant started deleting his social media postings shortly thereafter regarding the events of that day.

Note that he traveled all the way from Nevada to the District of Columbia to engage in this behavior. Again, the evidence is overwhelming that he was going to D.C. to look for trouble with others, and did just that, in an unprecedented attack on this country.

He shows no respect for the law. There's nothing to give this Court any satisfaction that he will obey the orders of the Court.

Real quickly, looking at the Pretrial Services report, little to no verification. Was not able to talk to the roommate. The one friend they did talk to, I'll just note, said that he's not -- the defendant's not militant in any way. The only way to describe what the defendant did on the 6th is militant.

There's no details on his online business. He provided nothing of any detail about what this work entails, if he's earning income, et cetera.

He lost his active passport. He has international travel.

05:31:58 1 So, in short, the government does not believe the Court can fashion conditions or a combination of conditions 05:32:01 2 related to releasing this defendant. 05:32:14 3 05:32:16 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dickinson. 05:32:17 5 Ms. Thayer. 05:32:20 6 MS. THAYER: Thank you, Your Honor. 05:32:20 7 I'd like to begin by taking one step back to the government's motion for a Detention Hearing in the very first 05:32:25 8 05:32:27 9 place here. The government relies in its memo on (f)(2)(B) of the Bail Reform Act at § 1752 of the code. 05:32:39 10 That subsection requires that the government provide some evidence that 05:32:50 11 05:32:54 12 Mr. DeGrave presents a "serious risk," that he will "obstruct 05:32:59 13 or attempt to obstruct justice." The rest of that provision 05:33:04 14 indicates that what is really at issue here is whether this 05:33:07 15 person will be ob- --05:33:24 16 (Videoconference terminated). 05:33:24 17 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Hang on. I think they 05:33:28 18 realized it, Judge. 05:34:04 19 THE COURT: Okav. 05:34:05 20 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: You want to do this 05:34:07 21 telephonically? 05:34:09 22 THE COURT: Not this one. We have to be in person. 05:34:11 23 The next one maybe, but not with this and these facts and these 05:34:17 24 cases --05:34:19 25 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: I just wasn't sure.

05:34:21 1	THE COURT: so we
05:34:33 2	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: No. Definitely, Judge.
05:34:36 3	We'll get another one opened up again and
05:35:32 4	THE COURT: Get it opened and do it and then we'll see
05:36:04 5	if Ms. Thayer will do the last one telephonically.
05:36:14 6	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Okay.
05:36:16 7	THE COURT: But this one we have to do in person.
05:36:18 8	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Definitely, Judge.
05:36:32 9	THE COURT: And that IT has to this has to
05:37:11 10	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: I will I will talk to
05:37:12 11	them, Judge.
05:41:43 12	(Recess taken from 4:55:02 p.m. till 5:07:51 p.m.)
05:41:43 13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can, Your Honor.
05:41:44 14	THE COURT: And Mr. DeGrave, I apologize. We are
05:41:46 15	having problems with the equipment. It keeps kicking us off.
05:41:54 16	Hopefully, we can finish the hearing before it does that.
05:41:57 17	Ms. Thayer, you were telling me why well, just
05:42:02 18	continue if you remember where you were at in your argument.
05:42:07 19	You had just started.
05:42:08 20	MS. THAYER: I do, Your Honor. And just for the
05:42:11 21	record, I was just lodging an objection about the government's
05:42:16 22	threshold to meet some sort of obstruction showing for in the
05:42:20 23	future that there would be obstruction in this case, and I
05:42:25 24	don't think they've done that. All they've cited is the
05:42:31 25	alleged conduct that occurred on November 6th, which had

05:42:35 1 nothing to do with the administration of this case or sort of a 05:42:44 2 pattern of conduct where he would do so in the future.

05:42:48 3

05:42:52 4

05:43:28 5

05:43:58 6

05:44:02 7

05:44:05 8

05:44:10 9

05:44:15 10

05:44:18 11

05:44:21 12

05:44:25 13

05:44:29 14

05:44:32 15

05:44:38 16

05:44:42 17

05:45:12 18

05:45:18 19

05:45:18 20

05:45:22 21

05:45:27 22

05:45:32 23

05:45:38 24

05:45:50 25

So, with that preliminary matter objected to, I would just like to focus more -- more importantly, if the only reason that we're here under the preponderance or (unintelligible) standards that apply.

Turning first to the risk of non-appearance, the pretrial officer does recommend that Mr. DeGrave could be a risk of non-appearance but there are surely conditions that could be imposed that would alleviate any of those risk. And the government hasn't rebutted that evidence or anything in the report with -- with any other evidence or proffer that would show that he is actually a risk of non-appearance. So the government essentially provided to this Court a closing argument that would be a preview for their trial case, but that is not actually relevant to the risk of non-appearance and, so, the government has not met its burden, even under preponderance, to show that, especially in light of Pretrial's recommendation.

And, so, I'd like to focus more on the danger aspect, which I actually think that the pretrial report, the recommendation might be in part driven by what I would say is an error in the report. At Page 3, there is a discussion about. . the AUSA in this case -- I believe that is

Mr. Dickinson and he can correct me if I'm wrong -- that he

1

05:45:58

05:46:03 2

05:46:12 3

05:46:18 4

05:46:22 5

05:46:24 6

05:46:29 7

05:46:33 8

05:46:36 9

05:46:40 10

05:47:13 11

05:47:27 12

05:47:31 13

05:47:34 14

05:47:43 15

05:47:45 16

05:47:48 17

05:47:56 18

05:48:00 19

05:48:03 20

05:48:06 21

05:48:09 22

05:48:18 23

05:48:21 24

05:48:25 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

reported that there was a search of my client's home and they found a lawfully registered firearm in his nightstand. But then, the report goes on to state that it should be noted the defendant self-reported there are no weapons at his residence.

It is my understanding, actually, that Mr. DeGrave was interrogated after he was interviewed -- or after he was arrested and admitted to precisely where that firearm was located, even saying it was in -- next to the nightstand. So, that, to me -- of course, I do not have the discovery in this case yet, the government is the only one with the recorded -- oh, well, I would assume recorded, I guess we don't know -- with any sort of record of the interrogation, but that is a very crucial fact to me because -- especially because

Mr. DeGrave admitting he has a lawfully registered firearm and it -- and telling them exactly where it is.

I would submit that once that fact is corrected, then the dangerousness drops off because all that is left is the government's closing argument about the nature of the alleged offenses. And, of course, that is a factor and it can be an important one, but it is just one of the factors. The Court has to look at everything before it, and Mr. DeGrave is not dangerous.

And before I move on from the offenses, I'd like to further contextualize them. I've pulled up the statutes and the very -- the first count is actually a wobbler, so it could

05:48:38 1

05:48:42 2

05:48:50 3

05:48:55 4

05:49:00 5

05:49:01 6

05:49:05 7

05:49:13 8

05:49:16 9

05:49:17 10

05:49:21 11

05:49:24 12

05:49:28 13

05:49:36 14

05:49:40 15

05:49:47 16

05:49:51 17

05:50:02 18

05:50:16 19

05:50:19 20

05:50:22 21

05:50:25 22

05:50:55 23

05:51:01 24

05:51:07 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

be a felony or a misdemeanor depending on whether it's an attempt and conspire to enter grounds without lawful authority. It could be a felony or misdemeanor depending on what is proven at trial. So that is not as serious of a charge as the government's complaint would indicate.

The same is true -- or, actually, and for Count Two, Violent Entry and Disorderly Conduct, that actually is a misdemeanor that only carries 6 months in jail as the highest penalty.

Count Three is the only pure felony that is charged, and the statutory cap is not more than 5 years.

So as this Court is aware, those are quite low statutory caps for federal offenses, and that must factor in that although, of course, the conduct here is serious and it is a federal offense and what happened is serious, I'm not trying to mitigate the allegations, but the reality is these are not offenses that are charged in a serious manner and that should not be -- the facts cannot overshadow the actual legal guidelines at play here, which is that this is mostly misdemeanor conduct that is charged, allegations.

And then that turns me to the actual factual allegations in the complaint. At Page 2, there is sort of a passive voice about a shoving match between my client and other charged individuals and the police. I would admit -- I would submit, though, that there's no screenshot of the alleged

05:54:05 1

05:54:09 2

05:54:13 3

05:54:19 4

05:54:24 5

05:54:31 6

05:54:34 7

05:54:41 8

05:54:44 9

05:54:56 10

05:55:02 11

05:55:08 12

05:55:12 13

05:55:17 14

05:55:24 15

05:55:27 16

05:55:32 17

05:55:35 18

05:55:44 19

05:55:49 20

05:56:01 21

05:56:07 22

05:56:10 23

05:56:18 24

05:56:21 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

shoving match. However, there is a screenshot of my client allegedly putting up his fists and beating his own chest, which I would submit is not anything to the officer, but, in fact, hitting his own self, which is not showing he's a danger to others. So, on the -- the one allegation that the government has where he may have -- where he's alleged to have shoved an officer, there's not actually corroborating proof with a screenshot and if it existed, I would submit it would be in this complaint because there are screenshots of less egregious conduct. So the Court should take that into account that this was a huge group and it will be unclear who was shoving who, especially as this Court knows just from being at a concert, there's momentum going with the group. You can't necessarily ascribe intent to that shoving, and that's the only physical conduct the Court has -- or the government has identified. I'd like to also point out, of course my client has

almost no criminal history. He has a couple of non-prosecutions for shoplifting as a youth and also when he was 19. So there's -- there's just not a risk of a pattern of this behavior. He's now 31. So, this is -- this is not something the Court should be concerned about, especially if the Court fashioned a condition saying stay away from anyone else who you know was, you know, charged in this event, or if -- something to keep him away from the group because even as his friend stated in the report, Mr. Fleming, that he's -- he's

05:56:47 1 not -- he wouldn't have been a leader in this, he would have been a follower. So maybe at best, he's got -- at worst he got 05:56:52 2 swept up in this. So isolating him away from anyone else 05:57:03 05:57:08 4 charged in this conduct I think would be more than sufficient 05:57:12 5 to alleviate any concerns the Court would have, and there's just no indication that Mr. DeGrave, now that, of course, he's 05:57:19 6 05:57:30 7 sat in federal custody, would engage in any sort of dangerous behavior at this point moving forward. 05:57:35 8 05:57:39 9 And we'd just ask that the Court release him to his home where he's lived for 4 years. I was not able to -- my 05:57:43 10 investigator couldn't find a phone number for his roommate. 05:57:46 11 05:57:51 12 doesn't know it by heart. To me, that is very common nowadays. I do not know many numbers by heart either because they're all 05:57:56 13 05:57:59 14 just saved in our smartphones, but I do think that there's -that Probation -- or that Pretrial was able to confirm he's 05:58:04 15 05:58:16 16 lived there and there's no concern of any -- and I believe he 05:58:22 17 was arrested there, so, there's no doubt that's his home and 05:58:25 18 that he can be supervised there.

So, unless the Court has questions, I would submit on that.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. No questions.

Mr. Dickinson.

MR. DICKINSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.

The government -- the government did report the

05:58:33 21

05:58:27 19

05:58:30 20

05:58:33 22

05:58:34 23

05:58:38 24

05:58:40 25

05:58:41 1

05:58:52 3

05:58:54 4

05:58:58 5

05:59:03 6

05:59:11 7

05:59:16 8

05:59:21 9

05:59:27 10

05:59:34 11

05:59:37 12

05:59:41 13

05:59:46 14

05:59:48 15

05:59:52 16

05:59:58 17

06:00:03 18

06:00:04 19

06:00:06 20

06:00:09 21

06:00:47 22

06:00:54 23

06:00:59 24

06:01:00 25

05:58:48

2.

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

firearm because Pro- -- Pretrial, they always ask if we knew that there's a firearm in the house and it is correct, the government -- law enforcement found that it was legally registered to him, so at that point it was left depending on the outcome of further proceedings. Obviously, if he's going to -- if he'd be released, the government would want the firearms out of the home.

Just briefly, Your Honor, I mean, the government needs to show an attempt obstruction. And granted, it's one factor, but this case is just different than any other I'm assuming that's probably been before Your Honor and there's probably never been a collective bigger attempt to obstruct justice than what the defendant engaged in. So, the government goes back to that and submits it to Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The Court's reviewed the government's detention memorandum found at Document No. 1, the Pretrial Services report, the complaint, has considered the arguments of the parties.

The Court does find by a preponderance of the evidence that he is a risk of non-appearance based upon the following:

First, the lack of confirmation or verification of his living arrangements, the fact that they could not or nobody could figure out or contact his roommate. While it's apparently clear he lives there, the circumstances surrounding that are unclear to the Court. More importantly, the lack of

06:01:09 1

06:01:15 2

06:01:21 3

06:01:27 4

06:01:30 5

06:01:33 6

06:01:35 7

06:01:37 8

06:01:39 9

06:01:41 10

06:01:48 11

06:01:53 12

06:01:56 13

06:02:01 14

06:02:06 15

06:02:10 16

06:02:13 17

06:02:16 18

06:02:20 19

06:02:28 20

06:02:32 21

06:02:36 22

06:02:37 23

06:02:42 24

06:02:45 25

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

confirmation about his employment, his income, and the -- the vague nature of what he's doing for a living, his possession of a passport, extensive foreign travel, his substance use history, and I think the facts and circumstances of this case are such that the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence he is a risk of non-appearance.

The Court also finds that he's a danger to the community based upon the nature of the instant alleged offense and the substance use history.

Counsel points out, and correctly so, that the nature of the offense is but one consideration, is the least important consideration, but the Court cannot conceive of any similar type of offense such as storming the Capitol with a mob of people intent on overturning a lawful election and doing so with whatever means necessary, including violence. In preparation for that, this young man wears full body armor, tactical gear, along with a face mask in anticipation of that. And, so, the Court does find that given the nature and circumstances and allegations in this case, they do rise to the level -- notwithstanding what the Bail Reform Act directs me to do -- to the level that he is a danger by clear and convincing evidence.

And I also find that he is a risk to obstruct the government and obstruct justice as a result of not only his conduct, which is extensive in preparing for what happened on

06:02:47 1

06:02:55 3

06:02:58 4

06:03:00 5

06:03:04 6

06:03:06 7

06:03:08 8

06:03:11 9

06:03:14 10

06:03:14 11

06:03:18 12

06:05:28 13

06:05:35 14

06:05:38 15

06:05:42 16

06:05:49 17

06:05:52 18

06:05:56 19

06:06:12 20

06:06:16 21

06:06:19 22

06:06:22 23

06:06:25 24

06:06:35 25

2.

06:02:51

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-

this date, but in what he did afterwards, including deleting social media postings and traveling back to Las Vegas. And, so, I do find that he's a risk to obstruct as well.

Furthermore, I find that there are no condition or combination of conditions that would address these concerns.

As I indicated in the last case, given the nature of the allegations and the facts and evidence presented to the Court to support those allegations, even if I thought conditions would address these concerns, I'm not convinced he would follow them.

The man, as I indicated, went to Washington, D.C. in full body armor and face mask to disrupt a lawful election with a mob of other people, with whatever -- by whatever means they found appropriate. The facts of this case reflected he has an utter disregard and lack of respect for some of the most important institutions in our country and more importantly, a lack of respect for the laws of this nation. And with that lack of respect, the Court cannot conceive and isn't convinced that he would respect this institution, the judiciary, any more than he's respected all the other institutions and that he would take conditions seriously or follow the conditions if I were to impose them as a condition of release.

And, so, I do find that he's a danger and a flight risk, and a risk to obstruct justice such that no combination of conditions will address those concerns. I will detain you

```
06:06:39 1
           pending your transportation back to the District of Columbia to
06:06:42 2
           face these charges. I will ask Mr. Dickinson to provide a
           report to the Court in 30 days if he's not transferred back
06:06:46 3
06:06:49 4
           outlining when the Court -- or when the government thinks he
           will be brought back to Washington, D.C.
06:06:52 5
                     I do have, Ms. Thayer, a waiver of the Identity
06:06:55 6
06:06:59 7
           Hearing. Do I understand it correctly, you would like to have
           a Preliminary Hearing in this district?
06:07:02 8
06:07:02 9
                (Brief pause in proceedings).
06:07:09 10
                     THE COURT: Ms. Thayer?
06:07:11 11
                     Everybody stopped, Jerry.
06:07:15 12
                     COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Gosh. No, they're moving,
           Judge. We've lost you for some reason. I know you're on
06:07:18 13
06:07:23 14
           there, but you're not on my screen, Judge, so I don't know
           what's going on.
06:07:30 15
06:07:38 16
                     THE COURT: Mr. DeGrave, can you hear me?
06:07:42 17
                     Boy, I got to tell you. . .
06:10:51 18
                (Videoconference terminated).
06:10:51 19
                     THE COURT: All right, folks. Apologize again.
06:10:54 20
           were kicked off.
06:10:55 21
                     Everybody hear us okay?
06:10:57 22
                     So I had made my record on the detention. Did
           everybody hear that before we were switching to the waiver?
06:11:00 23
06:11:03 24
                (No audible response).
06:11:23 25
                     THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Thayer, I have a
```

06:11:27 1 waiver for the Identity Hearing. Did you, indeed, want the Preliminary Hearing to be scheduled in this court? 06:11:29 2 I believe. . . yes, for now. Is it still 06:11:34 3 MS. THAYER: 06:11:40 4 a 14-day deadline? I apologize, Your Honor. I haven't had a Rule 5 with a complaint in awhile. 06:11:48 5 THE COURT: Well --06:11:57 6 06:11:59 7 MS. THAYER: So -- so yes, we'd like to not waive that. I'd like to at least talk to his D.C. attorneys when 06:12:04 8 06:12:11 9 they get appointed. THE COURT: Well, I can certainly set it, but he's not 06:12:14 10 going to be taken back or transported until we have that 06:12:18 11 06:12:20 12 hearing if everything's finalized here. So -- but if we set it, you can always file a written waiver and we can vacate it 06:12:24 13 06:12:27 14 and I can issue an order sending him back if that's how you 06:12:33 15 decide you want to go as well. 06:12:37 16 MS. THAYER: Yes. If that's okay with Your Honor, can 06:12:40 17 we please set it today and then I will speak with my client and we'll decide where to go from there and let the Court and the 06:12:46 18 government know as soon as possible. 06:12:49 19 06:12:52 20 All right, Jerry. So let's set that. THE COURT: 06:12:57 21 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Okay. Judge, I'm just going 06:13:02 22 to mute this real quick. 06:13:05 23 THE COURT: All right. 06:13:16 24 (Brief pause in proceedings). 06:14:05 25 THE COURT: All right. We were just looking at dates,

06:14:15 1 and the -- 2 weeks from today is February 15th, and that is 06:14:20 2 President's Day, and so we will set it for the 16th. Mr. Dickinson, I intend, at this stage, to set it for 06:14:24 3 06:14:27 4 3 o'clock, understanding that that's 6 o'clock back in the 06:14:32 5 east, but for now, that's when I will set it and we will see how this plays out with what you need via witnesses or timing 06:14:34 6 06:14:42 7 or the like. 06:14:44 8 And, so, Jerry, give us a date for the Preliminary 06:14:51 9 Hearing. 06:14:51 10 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Your Honor, that will be 3:00 p.m., and it will be via videoconferencing. 06:14:52 11 06:14:58 12 THE COURT: On Tuesday, February 16th, 2021. 06:15:03 13 COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: I apologize, Your Honor. 06:15:04 14 THE COURT: No problem. 06:15:05 15 All right. You have waived the Identity Hearing and 06:15:08 16 the production of a warrant, so the identity issue has been 06:15:11 17 waived but -- and Mr. DeGrave, did you talk to Ms. Thayer about 06:15:15 18 your right to an Identity Hearing and waiving that right indicating that all you're admitting is you're the 06:15:18 19 06:15:23 20 Nathaniel DeGrave that they're looking -- that's named in that 06:15:27 21 complaint and you're not admitting anything else? Do you agree 06:15:34 22 to that? 06:15:38 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 06:15:39 24 THE COURT: And you talked to Ms. Thayer about that? 06:15:45 25 Did you talk to Ms. Thayer about that?

```
06:15:48 1
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: All right. And, so, the Court will find
06:15:50
      2
           that that waiver is voluntarily and knowingly given after
06:15:51 3
06:15:56
      4
           discussing his right to have an Identity Hearing and discussing
      5
           that right with Ms. Thayer and, so, we'll set the matter for a
06:15:59
06:16:06 6
           Preliminary Hearing.
06:16:08 7
                     You will be remanded to the custody of the marshal
           pending that Preliminary Hearing. Of course, if you decide to
06:16:10 8
06:16:13 9
           waive that, we can remand you immediately and that will speed
06:16:16 10
           the process of getting you back to D.C.
06:16:18 11
                     Mr. Dickinson, you can hold that Status Report. I
06:16:23 12
           will make a further order on that depending on when we have a
06:16:26 13
           prelim or what happens on down the line.
06:16:28 14
                     Is there anything else from the government on this
06:16:30 15
           matter?
06:16:30 16
                     MR. DICKINSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
06:16:31 17
                     THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the
06:16:33 18
           defense, Ms. Thayer?
                     MS. THAYER: No, Your Honor.
06:16:35 19
06:16:36 20
                     THE COURT: All right. That concludes this matter.
06:16:39 21
                     Sir, if you can knock on that door and get their
06:16:42 22
           attention.
      2.3
               (Proceedings adjourned at 5:32:20 p.m.)
           ///
      24
           ///
      25
```

-TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING-I, Heather K. Newman, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript transcribed from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. <u>2-9-202</u>1 /s/ Heather K. Newman Heather K. Newman Date 06:16:42